

Derek Syphrett  
252 Fountayne Ln.  
Lawrence Township NJ, 08542

**Derek Syphrett, Esq.**  
Attorney; Citizen of New Jersey; Real Party of Interest; Witness-of-Fact

## ATTN: SPECIAL AGENT VERNON ADDISON

(October 22, 2014)

**To:** **ATTN: Special Agent Addison & Agents I spoke to 10/16**  
**FEDERAL BUREAU OF INVESTIGATION (F.B.I)**  
**(609-896-1469 & 609-689-7980)**

**From:** Third Party Persons  
Delivering

**SUPERIOR COURT OF NEW JERSEY**  
**Chief Justice Rabner & SUPREME COURT OF NEW JERSEY**  
**MICHELLE M. SMITH – CLERK OF THE COURT**  
**(609-984-6368 & 609-984-6988)**

Written Legal Notice of  
Derek Syphrett  
No Return Fax

Phone: 609-936-0025  
Email:  
[dsyphrett@gmail.com](mailto:dsyphrett@gmail.com)

*John Tonelli & The Advisory Committee on Judicial Conduct*

---

**Re:** R.I.C.O. Criminal & Civil Violations:

**CC:** ALL PARTIES INCLUDED IN  
THE FOLLOWING PAPERS

Section 901(a) of the Organized Crime Control Act of 1970  
Codified as Chapter 96 of Title 18 of the United States Code, 18 U.S.C. § 1961–1968.

### COMMENTS:

Please kindly consider the **attached 5 pages**, and consider contacting me for the identities of over 40 other similiary situated residents of New Jersey, who have been victimized under-the-color-of-law by a Superior Court and agents acting under the color of law, to in fact commit acts of theft, kidnapping, extortion, coercion, mail-fraud, etc.

In my case alone there is clear and convincing evidence of: Mail Fraud, kidnapping, extortion, coercion, etc all under the color-of-law.

If this were happening in Cuba, every politician in the U.S. Would be railing against it, yet when our politicians and our state government participate in these criminal activities, it is somehow a non-issue for our local politic?

### LET'S CALL "A SPADE", "A SPADE"

- If the Mafia did this it be a crime.
- It's still a crime, when the state does it.

See the referenced Federal Law (Title IV-D of the Welfare Act):

**This by definition racketeering. The state claims to be a neutral third party, when in fact they are a real party of interest, who benefits from victimizing children and parents, via child-support orders and unbalanced parenting time. The state literally collects money from the Federal Government for every dollar it collects for so-called "child support orders" (monies it does not mandate to be spent on any child).**

**When parents speak up about their rights being violated, the Superior Court routinely punishes the victim parent by issuing change of custody orders, without due-process or evidentiary hearings, as also happened in my case. The state of New Jersey, routinely ignores claims of parental interference and often rewards mothers who dis-obey parenting time orders, by awarding more child-support to such persons, and then collecting more money from the Federal Government as a result. The state does this without disclosing their financial stake in this racket.**

Derek C. Syphrett  
Pro se litigant (Defendant)  
252 Fountayne Ln,  
Lawrence Township, NJ 08648

10/22/2014

**URGENT & SENT IN THE "INTERESTS OF JUSTICE"**

**VIA CERTIFIED U.S. POSTAL MAIL & FACSIMILE:**

Chief Justice Rabner, Full Panel of Justices, and Clerk of the Court  
Supreme Court of New Jersey &  
25 Market Street  
Trenton New Jersey, 08611

Chief Justice Michelle M. Smith; Ronald E. Bookbinder, John Tomasello (in official / individual capacities)  
Superior Court of New Jersey  
Hughes Justice Complex  
Trenton New Jersey, 08608

Administrative Office of the Courts & Judge Glenn Grant, J.A.D.  
Superior Court of New Jersey  
Hughes Justice Complex  
Trenton New Jersey, 08608

**RE: ENFORCEMENT OF THE LAW AND RULES OF LAW IN NEW JERSEY**

I and about 40 other citizens of New Jersey with personal experience within the Chancery Division are in receipt of the attached letter.

I am very familiar with 5 other cases that bare shocking, chilling, and disgusting similarities to my own cases before the Superior Court. I've forwarded this information to a large media company and the producers there, who have subsequently reached out to New Jersey lawyers, and non-profits with the intention of producing a featured special regarding the New Jersey courts. We've tracked several lawyers, judges, and case files to find rampant, repetitive violations of THE LAW in New Jersey's Superior Court.

We've tracked Judges financial information (which obviously has no right to privacy), and we've been shocked at the anomalies we continue to discover in all of this data. Note too: my background in business & economics and financial analysis certainly qualifies my opinion on these matters to be one of weight.

Many of us are familiar with the underlying evidence, documents, and correspondence with the Superior Court and the A.O.C.

While we are leery of filing a Federal Class Action Lawsuit due to the burdens and obstacles created by judicial avoidance both in New Jersey and the Federal Courts, we are equally fearful that if the court does not address the myriad of issues that we have civilly, respectfully, and in good faith put before the court and its administrative offices, **THAT OUR LIVES WILL BE DESTROYED BY THE UNLAWFUL CONDUCT WITHIN OUR OWN COURTS.**

**WE AS A LARGE GROUP OF CITIZENS:** ARE ABSOLUTELY TERRIFIED FOR OUR LIVES, OUR CHILDREN, OUR PROPERTY, AND OUR WELL-EARNED GOOD-NAMES, THAT THIS COURT IS NOT SERVING THE PUBLIC, BUT RATHER IT IS SERVING AS A WEALTH TRANSFER MECHANISM WITH CRIMINAL INTENT, SUPPORTED BY CRIMINAL ACTS BY CONSTITUTIONAL OFFICERS OF THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY.

**YOU AS AN ORGANIZATION ARE BETRAYING THE PUBLIC TRUST WILLFULLY OR NEGLIGENTLY**

Please kindly respond to o similar correspondence of, and from the people of New Jersey (much of which I receive copies of on a weekly basis).

**We are not asking for a lot here:** We are not asking for prejudicial proceedings, or special favors. We are just asking for a court that abides the laws of this jurisdiction and the binding laws of the United States Supreme Court.

Would you please let us know (at a minimal) if we are asking too much from you in your official capacities and/or your agencies?

If so, please tender your resignations, we'd be happy to have the legislature appoint people who are capable and willing to enforce the rule of law in our courts.

The current circumstances described in Greg Roberts, and my own: letters, motions, and the associated exhibits, could not more clearly demonstrate unlawful conduct within the Superior Court of New Jersey, which has appropriately been put to the attention of the courts Administrative officer(s) pursuant Court Rule 1:33, so will you or won't you do your jobs.

Our children, our property, and our lives are not some silly game to us. To the extent that many of us have have long-dated proceedings before the Superior Court, we are well aware that these proceedings were not compliant with the law, and that the length and complexity of the underlying issues was exacerbated rather than cured by our interactions with the court and members of the B.A.R. Association.

If you can not ensure the proper administration of the Superior Court Chancery Division, please kindly petition the legislature and advise them of the same. The constitution does allow for the entire Chancery Division / Family Part to be abolished. If that is in the interests of justice, then let's all just agree to stay all proceedings in the Family Part, until you can ensure the proper administration.

There can be NO EXCUSE, for what men like Greg Roberts and I have been forced to go through, at the hands of our own government. Our courts have devolved into institutions that resemble the courts of some far away banana republics. IS THERE NO SHAME IN THIS?

**CONCLUSION / BOTTOM LINE:**

IF YOU CAN NOT ADMINISTRATE THESE COURTS PROPERLY, THEN NO ONE SHOULD HAVE TO APPEAR IN THEM. THERE IS NO JUSTICE IN MAINTAINING A COURT FOR THE SAKE OF ONLY THE APPEARANCE OF JUSTICE, WHEN YOUR AGENCIES CAN NOT EVEN PROVIDE THAT IN THE PRIMA FACIE.

To be clear: Given the remarkable and ridiculous experience I have had and the Federal and State statutes violated by my unlawful incarceration, unlawful first appearance, unlawful prohibition from my own trial dates, and the extreme prejudice shown by the Superior Court, I have no problem / no conflicting emotion with the prospect of taking my issues and the issues of similarly situated people to federal prosecutors, federal courts, and/or to the public directly. This insanity must come to an end. You are destroying families, children, careers, etc.

**WORSE: THE COURT HAS UNTENNABLE CONFLICTS OF INTEREST VIA TITLE IV-D OF THE WELFARE ACT:**

It is well-known to us that the State is also fraudulently accounting for its Title IV-D Welfare Act expenses and the state has a direct stake and incentive with regard to creating unbalanced parenting time, for the purposes of issuing child support orders, that ultimately result in greater federal reimbursements.

We are fully aware that the state court's and the legislature are selling our children into custody arrangements that directly benefit the state Treasury, the Superior Court Budget, and Judges Salaries and pensions.

The perverse incentives that this state receives as a direct result of the states adjudications of child custody in fact need to cease. This state should refuse to accept any Title IV-D monies until such time that it ceases to adjudicate custody matters. The state should not be allowed to: collect money based on the amount of child-support it orders and collects, while also adjudicating the custody issue. The state has created an untenable conflict of interest, that destroys the appearance of impartiality, neutrality, BECAUSE THE STATE OF NEW JERSEY IS A REAL PARTY OF INTEREST WHEN IT COMES TO SO CALLED "CHILD SUPPORT" ORDERS.

THE ABOVE DESCRIBED CONFLICT OF INTEREST SHOULD NOT EXIST BETWEEN THE LITIGANTS AND THE TRIERS OF FACT IN CIVIL MATTERS.

**WORSE STILL "SO-CALLED" CHILD-SUPPORT CAN BE ANYTHING BUT THE SAME:**

I am well aware that in my own litigation and in that of many other parents, our child support is not spent for the benefit of our children. The first \$20,000 I sent to my wife went to her lawyers, who lost a great many motions regarding my parenting time, as their motions were not deemed in the best interests of my

children. So let's be honest about that too. This state is not even requiring that "child support" benefit any children.

**EVEN WORSE: FUNDAMENTAL FAIRNESS TO CHILDREN OF THIS STATE GENERALLY IS ABSENT IN THE ADJUDICATION OF FAMILY MATTERS:**

This state is not entitling foster children to anywhere near the level of support that many children of divorce get in this state, and that too is unfair.

Why is college an entitlement to divorced children, but not children of married parents?

Why is college an entitlement to divorced children, but not foster children in the states care?

Why is a non-custodial parents income an entitlement at all, when children of married parents have no such entitlement, and no such entitlement is recognized by law?

Why has the court and Attorney Generals Office decriminalized Parental Interference and advised all police departments, via local prosecutors to REFUSE to sign 2C:13-4 Complaints?

... **It appears: prosecutors have been allowed to unilaterally obstruct justice** for children and parents in family court (at the behest of the Family Part itself), which clearly increases the unbalanced parenting time, and child-support orders as unlawful parenting regimes are repeatedly allowed to become the new status quo, and the legal basis for higher child-support orders as occurred in my own case!

Ughh... The nonsensical nature of the system defies logic, reason, fairness, transparency,

LASTLY:

I will also be submitting O.P.R.A. Requests to other bodies with regard to some of the financial data and personal character issues we've discovered with regard to some of the Judges directly involved in my matters, in part, based on the work of private investigators who alerted us to additional concerns.

We have noted John Tomasello and his families colorful history and it is certainly a grave concern. We understand why the Judicial Oversight Committee temporarily suspended deliberation of his appointment due to concerns sent via letter to the legislature regarding John Tomasello's character. John Tomasello's career on the bench speaks for itself (a dog custody trial, a reversal of his claim that the intent to commit child rape, was a victimless crime, etc). This man does not belong in the Family Part or any court of law, he belongs in a nut house.

Kind regards,



**Derek C. Syphrett, Esq.**  
**Attorney-in-fact**  
**Petitioner, Pro Se**  
**Indigent Person, pursuant court order**  
**Disabled Person, Pursuant the Federal A.D.A.**  
**Citizen of New Jersey (a constitutional creation)**  
**Citizen of the United States (a constitutional creation, obligated to report felonies by law)**  
**Resident of New Jersey**  
**Naturalis Homo In Carne**  
**A member of the Public (an appendage to Public and Public Trust)**  
**The Sovereign & Other Authorities, in lege, et in carne, pursuant Constitution For The**  
**United States, 10<sup>th</sup> Amendment**

Notice:

Any failure by the A.O.C. or the Superior Court to respond to the facts put before ~~the~~ agencies in this or prior letters regarding my apparently unlawful arrest, mail fraud of Ronald Book Binder via 2/19/2014 court orders (which purport to be lawful despite clearly being unlawful), the Ex-parte trial of my divorce, the fabricated testimony cited in the Summation of John Tomasello in FM-03-790-14, and the unlawful taking of my money pursuant void orders of final judgement in FM-03-790-14, will be deemed as implicit affirmation by your agencies (A.O.C. Superior Court, office of counsel, and their agents) that a Criminal event and

Page 5 of 5

cover-up is indeed in place with regard to my legal affairs

Clearly: I was unlawfully imprisoned, Clearly Judge Jimenez issued a fraudulent court order on 8/20/2013. Failure to deny the same will be deemed an admission